

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **5 April 2005**, the Examiner reviewed claims 1-30. The specification was objected to because of informalities. Claims 1-5, 7-15, 17-25, and 27-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun Microsystems, Inc. (*Sun Cluster 2.2 API Developer's Guide*, hereinafter "Sun Cluster 2.2") in view of Richburg (USPN 5,159,687, hereinafter "Richburg"). Claims 6, 16, and 26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun Cluster 2.2, and further in view of Sun Microsystems, Inc. (*Application Packaging Developer's Guide*, hereinafter "APDG").

Objections to the Specification

The specification was objected to because of informalities.

Applicant has amended the specification to correct the Typographical error in amended paragraph [0030]. No new matter has been added.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Independent claims 1, 11, and 21 were rejected as being unpatentable over Sun Microsystems, Inc. (*Sun Cluster 2.2 API Developer's Guide*, hereinafter "Sun Cluster 2.2") in view of Richburg. Applicant respectfully points out that the combined system of Sun Cluster 202 and Richburg teaches **generating and installing code** for a resource type on a clustered computing system (see Sun Cluster 2.2, sections 1.1 and 1.2 and Richburg, Abstract).

In contrast, the present invention additionally generates a **configuration file**, which contains **user-supplied configuration information** for configuring the installed code (see paragraph [0038] of the instant application). This is beneficial because it allows the system to configure the code after it is installed by using the user-supplied configuration information. There is nothing within Sun

Cluster 2.2 or Richburg, either separately or in concert, which suggests additionally generating a configuration file, which contains user-supplied configuration information for configuring code after it is installed


Accordingly, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 11, and 21 to clarify that the present invention additionally generates a configuration file, which contains user-supplied configuration information for configuring code after it is installed. These amendments find support in paragraph [0038] of the instant application.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 11, and 21 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2-10, which depend upon claim 1, claims 12-20, which depend upon claim 11, and claims 22-30, which depend upon claim 21, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Edward J. Grundler
Registration No. 47,615

Date: 5 July 2005

Edward J. Grundler
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95616-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1663
FAX: (530) 759-1665